1	COOLEY LLP	
2	BOBBY GHAJAR (198719) (bghajar@cooley.com)	
3	COLETTE GHAZARIAN (322235) (cghazarian@cooley.com)	
4	1333 2nd Street, Suite 400 Santa Monica, California 90401	
5	Telephone: (310) 883-6400	
6	MARK WEINSTEIN (193043) (mweinstein@cooley.com) KATHLEEN HARTNETT (314267)	
7	(khartnett@cooley.com) JUDD LAUTER (290945)	
8	(jlauter@cooley.com) ELIZABETH L. STAMESHKIN (260865)	
9	(lstameshkin@cooley.com) 3175 Hanover Street	
10	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Telephone: (650) 843-5000	
11	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON ANGELA L. DUNNING (212047)	LLP
12	(adunning@cgsh.com) 1841 Page Mill Road, Suite 250	
13	Palo Alto, CA 94304 Telephone: (650) 815-4131	
14	Counsel for Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc.	
15		DICTRICT COLUMN
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
19	RICHARD KADREY, et al.,	Case No. 3:23-cv-03417-VC
20	Individual and Representative Plaintiffs,	DECLARATION OF JUDD LAUTER
21		
	V.	Discovery Cut-Off: December 13, 2024
22	v.  META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware corporation;	Discovery Cut-Off: December 13, 2024 Date Action Filed: July 7, 2023
	META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware	
22   23   24	META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware corporation;	
23	META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware corporation;	
23   24   25	META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware corporation;	
23 24	META PLATFORMS, INC., a Delaware corporation;	

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW 5

8

12

13

16

15

18

17

1920

21

2223

24

2526

27

28

I, Judd Lauter, declare as follows:

- 1. I am a Special Counsel at the law firm of Cooley LLP and am counsel to Defendant Meta Platforms, Inc. ("Meta") in the above-captioned case. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this Declaration and, if called upon as a witness, could competently testify to them under oath.
- 2. Attached hereto as **Exhibit A** is a true and correct copy of the draft Stipulation and [Proposed] Order re Scheduling of Deposition with respect to Meta's CEO, Mark Zuckerberg, which counsel for Meta drafted based upon the Parties agreement to the same.
- 3. On October 8, 2024, Plaintiffs served their Amended Notice of Deposition Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(B)(6) to Meta Platforms, Inc. ("Plaintiffs' Amended Rule 30(b)(6) Notice"), a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit B**.
- 4. Meta served its responses and objections to the same on October 28, 2024, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit C**.
- 5. Counsel for the parties held a meet and confer concerning Plaintiffs' amended 30(b)(6) notice on Tuesday, October 29, 2024.
- 6. During the October 29 meet and confer, I asked about the relevance of Topic 5 of Plaintiffs' Amended Rule 30(b)(6) Notice, which calls for testimony on "Meta's policies and procedures regarding its adherence to any laws or regulations, including the EU AI Act, that require transparency around the datasets used to train AI models, require authorization from rightsholders for the use of the text and data in training AI models, and require disclosure of the use of any copyrighted materials to train an AI model."
- 7. In response, Plaintiffs' counsel was unable to articulate how or why Topic 5 was relevant to this case and instead offered only conclusory statements of relevance.
- 8. Further, Plaintiffs' counsel refused to identify the specific federal, state, or non-U.S. laws or regulations encompassed under Topic 5, except that it includes the EU AI Act and unspecified recent legislation in California and Colorado.
- 9. Based on their briefing in conjunction with this matter, Plaintiffs appear to be referencing AB 2013, a new California law that imposes certain transparency requirements around

AI training data, and the Colorado Artificial Intelligence Act. The former imposes certain transparency requirements around datasets used for AI, while the latter is primarily focused on regulating high risk uses of AI. Neither has anything to do with copyright law, which is a federal statute that expressly preempts state and common law pursuant to 17 U.S. Code § 301.

- 10. A true and correct copy of a blog post published by Cooley LLP concerning AB 2013 is attached hereto as **Exhibit D**.
- 11. A true and correct copy of a blog post published by the law firm Skadden is attached hereto as **Exhibit E**.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the "EU AI Act" referenced in Topic 5 pertains to "Regulation (EU) 2024/1689 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 June 2024 Laying Down Harmonised Rules on Artificial Intelligence and Amending Regulations (EC) No 300/2008, (EU) No 167/2013, (EU) No 168/2013, (EU) 2018/858, (EU) 2018/1139 and (EU) 2019/2144 and Directives 2014/90/EU, (EU) 2016/797 and (EU) 2020/1828," a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as **Exhibit F**.
- 13. Article 113 of such EU AI Act states that the act shall not apply until August 2, 2026, except as to certain sections that will apply no earlier than February 2, 2025.
- 14. Attached hereto as **Exhibit G** is a true and correct copy of the October 30, 2023, Comments of Meta Platforms, Inc., filed in response to the U.S. Copyright Office Notice of Inquiry on Artificial Intelligence & Copyright (Dkt. 2023–6).
- 15. Public reporting indicates that Meta has declined to release its AI models in the EU due to concerns around privacy regulations, not copyright concerns (which are not referenced in the article cited by Plaintiffs). Attached hereto as **Exhibit H** is a true and correct copy of a *Guardian* article discussing the issue.
- 16. Attached hereto as **Exhibit I** is a true and correct copy of the October 21, 2024, email from Plaintiffs' counsel, Mr. Maxwell Pritt, and the earlier emails in that chain, which first raised Plaintiffs' purported issues with Meta's custodial productions. This email chain was principally about Meta's efforts to schedule depositions. Mr. Pritt indicated on October 20, 2024, in the same Exhibit, that in light of Meta informing Plaintiffs that it did not represent Mr. Lample,

Mr. Grave and Mr. Rodriguez, Plaintiffs swapped their names for other deponents.

17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit J** is a true and correct copy of the September 6, 2024, email from Meta's counsel to Plaintiffs' counsel, which informed Plaintiffs that the following individuals were no longer employed at Meta and provide their last known location: "Moya Chen (Bay Area), Tim Dettmers (Seattle), Susan Zhang (Bay Area), Aurelian Rodriguez (Paris), Guillaume Lample (Paris), Xavier Martinet (Paris), and Edouard Grave (Paris)."

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on this 31st day of October at Berkeley, California.

<u>/s/ Judd Lauter</u>

COOLEY LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW